0 k to liter 12/05/05

REMARKS

The enclosed is responsive to the Examiner's Office Action mailed on September 23, 2005. At the time the Examiner mailed the Office Action claims 1-15 and 17-24 were pending. By way of the present response the Applicant has amended claims 1, 4, 6-7, 9, 12, 14, 17, 20, and 22-23. No new claims have been added. No claims have been canceled. As such, claims 1-15 and 17-24 remain pending. The Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the present application and the allowance of all claims presented.

The amendments to the claims are made only to place the claims in what the Applicant considers to be better form and not in response to the rejections. The Applicant does not believe any amendment is needed to comply with any requirement of patentability.

Objections

The Examiner objected to claim 17 because of informalities. Specifically, the Examiner requested that the terms "capable of" be changed to "configured for" on line 5.

The Applicant has amended claim 17 accordingly. Withdrawal of the objection to claim 17 is respectfully requested.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph

The Examiner rejected claims 1, 9, and 17 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Specifically, the Examiner asserted:

8